Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol. 10, EGU2008-A-10238, 2008 SRef-ID: 1607-7962/gra/EGU2008-A-10238 EGU General Assembly 2008 © Author(s) 2008



Late Holocene climate oscillations and ¹⁴C fluctuations in speleothem calcite: constraints on models for solar forcing

A.S. Jackson (1), F. McDermott (1) and A. Mangini (2)

(1) UCD School of Geological Sciences, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland, (2) Institut für Umweltphysik, Heidelberger Akademie der Wissenschaften, Im Neuenheimer Feld 229, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

frank.mcdermott@ucd.ie

Links between Holocene climate variability and changes in solar irradiance remain controversial. The initial ¹⁴C activity of calcite deposited in a late Holocene stalagmite (a¹⁴C_{stal}) from Attahöhle (Sauerland, Germany) varies synchronously with changes in atmospheric ¹⁴C activity (a¹⁴C_{atm}). This observation provides independent chronological support for the correlation between a solar forcing proxy (atmospheric ¹⁴C production rate, $a^{14}C_{prod}$) and a climate proxy ($\delta^{18}O_{stal}$) in this stalagmite, and eliminates the need to 'tune' the chronology. A Monte Carlo randomisation in which 100,000 synthetic $\delta^{18}O_{stal}$ datasets indicates that the strong correlation between $a^{14}C_{prod}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{stal}$ is statistically robust. The absence of a significant time lag between the $\delta^{18}O_{stal}$ and $a^{14}C_{prod}$ datasets implies tight coupling at this site between a climate-sensitive proxy and atmospheric radiocarbon production. The transmission of the a¹⁴C_{atm} profile to STAL-AH-1 also implies rapid carbon cycling in the soil/epikarst zone above Attahöhle, and a 'dead carbon' proportion that remained relatively constant during 2.7-2.2 ka. Taken together with evidence from Holocene lake cores and ¹⁴C 'wiggle-matched' peat bog sequences, the data for STAL-AH-1 are interpreted to indicate cold-wet conditions (and an increase in effective summer precipitation) during late Holocene solar minima. These conditions are difficult to reconcile with the predictions of atmospheric amplification models [cold-dry, e.g. Shindell et al., 2001], pointing to possible deficiencies in the physics of these models (e.g. absence of cosmic-ray modulated cloud formation processes).

Shindell, D.T., Schmidt, G.A., Mann, M.E., Rind, D. and Waple, A. (2001) *Science* **294**, 2149-2152.