



Performances comparison of bathymetry on rivers from various visible high resolution images.

J., Damis (1), C., Delenne (2), **J.S., Bailly (3)**, C., Puech (4)

(1) Polytech' Montpellier (ISIM), Département STE (Sciences et Technologie de l'Eau), Université Montpellier 2, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34090 Montpellier, France, (2) UMR HydroSciences Montpellier (HSM) - CNRS/IRD/UM1/UM2, Maison des Sciences de l'Eau, 300 avenue du Professeur Emile Jeanbrau, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France, (2) AgroParisTech, UMR TETIS, Maison de la Télédétection, 500 rue Jean François Breton, 34093 Montpellier Cedex 5, France, (3) Cemagref, UMR TETIS, Maison de la Télédétection, 500 rue Jean François Breton, 34093 Montpellier Cedex 5, France, delenne@msem.univ-montp2.fr / Phone: 33- 467-14-90-56

Bathymetry data on river are crucial elements for hydro-morphology and hydro-ecology but difficult and costly to measure. In case of clear water river, the use of remote-sensing images might suit to produce realistic depth maps of shallow water areas [Carboneau et al., 2004].

In this study, three sites have been studied on the graded-bed river Durance (France). On these test sites, a high quantity of ground data were available. Three Very High Spatial Resolution images shot at same time as ground data were compared: two aerial images acquired by plane (Bd-Ortho© IGN) or ULM (Avion Jaune Company) in natural colours (Red, Green, Blue) with 0.5 m resolution and one provided by the Quickbird satellite in multispectral (R, G, B, Infrared) with 2.4 m and 0.7 m resolutions. For each shot, a model of the relation between colors and depth has been fitted using linear regression. In the case of simple linear regressions, a determination coefficient of 0.4 was found in the best case and most models are very poorly relevant. On the contrary, results using a stepwise multi-linear regression according Lysenga equation are more significant with a maximum of determination coefficient up to 0.71. In most cases, only two spectral bands were identified as significant to establish a

fairly good model for each shot. According to the error maps, a link is supposed on the one hand between high depths and under-assessment by the method and, on the other hand, between algae excess and over-assessment. Image pre-treatment and resolution appear to be two important parameters influencing the model fitting. Tests of model inter-crossing between each shot and images were realised in order to assess their suitability and generalization ability. These first results shows a difficult direct generalisation of regression models and highlights, for aerial images, the necessity of the images “normalization” using some radiometric pre-treatments.

Thanks to CNES-ORFEO project (France) for providing Quick-bird images