Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol. 10, EGU2008-A-08259, 2008 SRef-ID: 1607-7962/gra/EGU2008-A-08259 EGU General Assembly 2008 © Author(s) 2008



Quantitative precipitation forecasts in the Alps - first results from the Forecast Demonstration Project MAP D-PHASE

F. Ament (1,2), M. Arpagaus (1), and M. W. Rotach (1)

(1) MeteoSwiss, Zürich, (2) Meteorological Institute, University of Hamburg (marco.arpagaus@meteoswiss.ch)

The main aim of the WWRP Forecast Demonstration Project MAP D-PHASE is to demonstrate the performance of today's models to forecast heavy precipitation and flood events in the Alpine region. Therefore an end-to-end, real-time forecasting system was installed and operated during the D PHASE Operations Period from June to November 2007. Part of this system are 30 numerical weather prediction models (deterministic as well as ensemble systems) operated by weather services and research institutes, which issue alerts if forecasted precipitation accumulations exceed critical thresholds. Additionally to the real-time alerts, all relevant model fields of these simulations are stored in a central data archive. This comprehensive data set allows a detailed assessment of today's quantitative precipitation forecast (QPF) performance in the Alpine region.

We will present first results of QPF verifications against Swiss radar and rain gauge data both from a qualitative point of view, in terms of alerts, as well as from a quantitative perspective, in terms of precipitation rate. Various influencing factors like lead time, accumulation time, selection of warning thresholds, or bias corrections will be discussed. Additional to traditional verifications of area average precipitation amounts, the performance of the models to predict the correct precipitation statistics without requiring a point-to-point match will be described by using Fuzzy verification techniques. The analysis reveals significant advantages of deep convection resolving models compared to coarser models with parameterized convection. Finally, an intercomparison of model forecasts themselves reveals a remarkably high variability between different models (model families), and makes it worthwhile to evaluate the potential of a multi-model ensemble.