



Storage capacity of CO₂ by mineral trapping in the hydrogeothermal reservoir structure at Stralsund, Germany

M. Kühn (1,2), R. Meyer (2), M. Back (3), C. Clauser (2), H. Stanjek (4), S. Peiffer (3)

(1) GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Environmental Geotechnique, Telegrafenberg, D-14473 Potsdam, Germany, (2) RWTH Aachen University, E.ON Energy Research Center, Applied Geophysics and Geothermal Energy, Lochnerstr. 4-20, D-52056 Aachen, Germany, (3) University of Bayreuth, Hydrology, Universitätsstr. 30, D-95440 Bayreuth, Germany, (4) RWTH Aachen University, Clay and Interface Mineralogy, Wüllnerstr. 2, D-52056 Aachen, Germany (Contact Email: mkuehn@gfz-potsdam.de)

Storage of carbon dioxide by precipitation of carbon-bearing minerals in geological formations is, on the long run, more stable and therefore much safer than direct storage or solution trapping. The concept of combining hydrogeothermal energy production with geological CO₂ storage consists of converting dissolved CO₂ into the geochemically more stable form of calcite in a reaction where calcium is provided by the dissolution of sulphates (e.g. anhydrite) and alkalinity by feldspars or geothermal water treated with fly ashes. At Stralsund, situated in Northeastern Germany on the Baltic Sea, a geothermal resource was investigated and confirmed in previous studies in Buntsandstein layers at a depth of about 1520 m. We performed mass balance calculations to assess the storage capacity of the potential reservoir based on available data and plausible chemical and physical boundary conditions. The calculations assumed a common doublet installation with combined hot water production and cooled water re-injection in two wells. During an approximate life time of 65 years, suggested by preliminary reservoir simulations, with a constant production rate of 100 m³/h, around 57 Million m³ of geothermal water are circulated. It is assumed that the injected water is cooled from the initial formation temperature of 56°C to 25°C. The maximum stor-

age capacity of CO₂ in a geothermal reservoir by mineral trapping depends primarily on the solubility of CO₂ in the geothermal brine. This amounts to 210,000 t at a pressure of 1 MPa (maximum pressure of aboveground tubing system) for Stralsund. However, also the solubility of anhydrite, increasing the porosity of the reservoir, is important to ensure that the reservoir permeability is not reduced over the lifetime of the geothermal plant. This is ensured if only as much calcite and secondary minerals are precipitated as newly generated pore space is available. The solubility of anhydrite in the formation water, depending on the temperature difference between the cooled water and the formation temperature and the salinity, is $3.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ mol/kg formation water. Transferred into CO₂ storage equivalents this calculates to 7,800 t. The anhydrite solubility can be increased by fractional distillation of the geothermal brine before re-injection. Avoiding precipitation of halite or other major components of the geothermal water, the maximum storable amount of CO₂ can be enhanced to 29,000 t. If it was technically feasible to remove Ca and SO₄ from the brines entirely a total of 123,000 t of CO₂ equivalents could be stored at Stralsund. Provided that not the anhydrite content but the in-situ alkalinity source is the limiting factor, 126,000 t of CO₂ equivalents can be stored in the Stralsund geothermal reservoir. Under the assumption that the rock forming minerals are not available for buffering the mineral reactions due to their low reaction rates, the surface treatment of the geothermal waters with fly ashes provides an alternative to obtain the required alkalinity. The optimized application of fly ashes results in 22,000 t of CO₂ equivalents which can be stored in the geothermal reservoir over the lifetime of the plant.

It can be concluded that the technology of transferring CO₂ into calcite in operated geothermal reservoirs is feasible but the amounts of storable CO₂ are too low to be of climatic relevance or even to increase the economic efficiency of a geothermal plant.