



## **PreMDB, a thermodynamically consistent material database as a key to geodynamic modelling**

**D. Siret** (1), T. Poulet (1), K. Regenauer-Lieb (1,2) and J.A.D. Connolly (3)

(1) CSIRO Exploration and Mining Division, 26 Dick Perry Avenue, Kensington WA 6151, Australia

(2) University of Western Australia, Earth & Geographical Sciences, 35, Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

(3) Earth Science Department, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

(Delphine.Siret@csiro.au / Phone: +61 864 368 691)

The Preliminary Reference Earth Material DataBase (PreMDB) is a new tool for coupling thermochemistry with mechanics. The main purpose of PreMDB is to provide modellers with a complete and easy access to fundamental material data for terrestrial rocks and minerals. Another goal is to standardise material data to compare results from various numerical and experimental techniques. Currently, PreMDB lists 47 major rock forming dry and wet minerals and 10 terrestrial rocks, representing a standard for marine sediments [1], the upper and lower continental crust [2, 3], oceanic crust [4] and mantle (pyrolite and peridotite) [5, 6]. For each rock and mineral, 20 thermodynamic, thermal, elastic, seismic and mechanical properties are defined between 0.05-5 GPa and 400-1600K.

Recent studies [7, 8] have shown that physical properties of terrestrial rocks and minerals derived from thermodynamic potentials provide an important complement to geophysical observations and experimental measurements. Properties previously determined from laboratory analyses can now be derived self-consistently from thermodynamic modelling for the full temperature and pressure range in the earth's interior. Thermodynamic potential functions are thus used to calculate reversible material prop-

erties such as thermal expansion coefficient, specific heat, elastic shear modulus, bulk modulus and density. Subsequently, thermodynamic potential functions can be used to drive geodynamical processes by solving the heat equation without applying external boundary conditions. Specifically, density differences driving for instance subduction do not need to be assigned but they follow from chemical composition and temperature.

We chose the Gibbs energy minimisation algorithm *Perple\_X* to compute phase equilibria, maps phase relations and extracts mineral physical properties of geodynamical interest. *Perple\_X* is robust and computes stable mineral assemblages. In particular, *Perple\_X* predicts phase transitions that are important for seismic tomography and geodynamic modelling. Moreover, in contrast to codes using non-linear techniques for Gibbs energy minimisation (*ThermoCalc*, *Domino*, *FreeGs*), *Perple\_X* utilises a linearized formulation of the minimisation problem which always converges and minimizes data gaps.

Transport properties such as thermal conductivity (diffusivity) and melt viscosity are also included, but these are derived from laboratory experiments. These properties are included to provide a reference database as a common standard of material properties necessary for performing realistic simulations of rock behaviour during geological and geodynamic processes.

The model fits the PREM and ak135 seismic models and may even record more precise discontinuities due to thermodynamically predicted phase transitions. Density, P- and S-wave velocity and Poisson's ratio simulated for pyrolitic compositions with varying  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , CaO and FeO contents show global agreement with the seismological data down to the core-mantle boundary. The autocorrelation functions respectively vary between 0.996-0.998, 0.996-0.998, 0.988-0.995 and 0.748-0.779 for PreMDB/PREM and 0.992-0.996, 0.994-0.997, 0.987-0.994 and 0.763-0.783 for PreMDB/ak135. The discrepancy for the Poisson's ratio results from the square root relation between the P- and S-wave velocity ratio and the Poisson's ratio, making this parameter more sensitive to chemistry. This result suggests that the Poisson's ratio is a useful marker of the variation of mantle composition with depth and may be used for future fine tuning of mantle chemistry.

## References

1. Plank T and Langmuir CH (1998) The chemical composition of subducting sediment and its consequences for the crust and mantle. *Chem. Geol.* 145325-394.
2. Taylor SR and McLennan M, *The Continental Crust: its Composition and Evolution: an Examination of the Geochemical Record Preserved in Sedimentary Rocks.* ed.

1985, Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications. 312.

3. Rudnick RL and Fountain DM (1995) Nature and composition of the continental crust: A lower crustal perspective. *Rev. Geophys.* 33(3):267-309.

4. Staudigel H, et al. (1996), Geochemical fluxes during seafloor alteration of the basaltic upper ocean crust: DSDP 417 and 418. In: *Subduction: Top to Bottom*, American Geophysical Union: Washington DC. pp 19-38.

5. Hart SR and Zindler A (1986) In Search of a Bulk-Earth Composition. *Chem. Geol.* 57(3-4):247-267.

6. Ringwood AE, *Origin of the Earth and Moon*. ed. 1979, New York: Springer.

7. Stixrude L and Lithgow-Bertelloni C (2005) Mineralogy and elasticity of the oceanic upper mantle: Origin of the low-velocity zone. *J. Geophys. Res.-Solid Ea* 110(B3).

8. Stixrude L and Lithgow-Bertelloni C (2005) Thermodynamics of mantle minerals - I. Physical properties. *Geophys. J. Int.* 162(2):610-632.

9. Connolly JAD (1990) Multivariable Phase-Diagrams - an Algorithm Based on Generalized Thermodynamics. *Am. J. Sci.* 290(6):666-718.

10. Connolly JAD (2005) Computation of phase equilibria by linear programming: A tool for geodynamic modeling and its application to subduction zone decarbonation. *Earth Planet. Sc. Lett.* 236(1-2):524-541.

11. Connolly JAD and Petrini K (2002) An automated strategy for calculation of phase diagram sections and retrieval of rock properties as a function of physical conditions. *J. Metamorph. Geol.* 20(7):697-708.