



Comparison of different wood smoke markers in ambient aerosol

A.S.H. Prevot (1,8), J. Sandradewi (1), M.R. Alfarra (1), S. Szidat (2), M.N. Wehrli (2), M. Ruff (2), S. Weimer (1,7), V.A. Lanz (3), E. Weingartner (1), N. Perron (1), A. Caseiro (4,6), A. Kasper-Giebl, H. Puxbaum (4), L. Wacker (5), U. Baltensperger (1)

(1) Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, PSI, Villigen, Switzerland (andre.prevot@psi.ch / Fax: +41563104525/ Phone: +41563104202), (2) Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Bern 3012, Bern, Switzerland, (3) Laboratory for Air Pollution and Environmental Technology, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Testing and Research, 8600 Duebendorf, Switzerland, (4) Institute for Chemical Technologies and Analytics, Vienna University of Technology, 1060 Vienna, Austria, (5) Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Hönggerberg, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland, (6) CESAM and Department of Environment and Planning, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal, (7) Laboratory for Internal Combustion engines, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Testing and Research, 8600 Duebendorf, Switzerland, (8) Department of Chemistry, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

Residential wood combustion is increasingly being identified as a major contributor to air pollution at a number of sites in Switzerland and in other countries. A source apportionment method using the aethalometer light absorption parameters is applied to five winter campaigns at three sites in Switzerland: a village with high wood combustion activity in winter, an urban background site and a highway site. The particulate mass from traffic ($PM_{traffic}$) and woodburning (PM_{wb}) obtained with this model compared fairly well with results from the ^{14}C source apportionment method. PM_{wb} from the model is also compared to well known wood smoke markers such as anhydrosugars (levoglucosan and mannosan) and fine mode potassium, as well as to a marker recently suggested from the Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer (mass fragment m/z 60). Additionally the anhydrosugars were compared to the ^{14}C results and they are shown to be comparable to literature values from wood burning emission studies using different types of wood (hardwood, softwood). The levoglucosan to PM_{wb}

ratios varied much more strongly between the different campaigns compared to mannosan to PM_{wb} with a range of 1-1.5%. Possible uncertainty aspects for the various methods and markers are discussed.