Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol. 10, EGU2008-A-03733, 2008 SRef-ID: 1607-7962/gra/EGU2008-A-03733 EGU General Assembly 2008 © Author(s) 2008



Sediment transmission and storage: the implications for reconstructing landform development.

R.C. Chiverrell (1), G.C. Foster (1), G.S.P. Thomas (1), P. Marshall (2) and D. Hamilton (2)

- (1) Department of Geography, University of Liverpool, Roxby Building, Liverpool L69 3ZT,
- (2) Scientific Dating Team, English Heritage, 1 Waterhouse Square, 138-142 Holborn, London.

The late Holocene (last 3000 years) development of the lower Ribble valley (Northwest England) displays evidence for a complex response to a sediment recharge event forced by land use change induced increases in erosion and sediment delivery. The deposition of fluvial sediments during the late Holocene was restricted to a series of depocenters separated by zones with no sediment accumulation constrained by older glacial and fluvial terrain. Apparent reach-wide correlations of fluvial terraces break down under the scrutiny applied by comprehensive and extensive radiocarbon control. Bayesian testing of relative order models show that large-scale geomorphic changes, e.g. the progression from one terrace level to another, were time transgressive between different depocenters. The different histories of sediment delivery and storage are probably a function of local- and process-scale variations in these depocenters, and reflect (dis)connectivity relationships within a reach in propagating a basin-scale change (superslug) in the sediment regime. Disconnectivity in the depositional regime through a fluvial reach limits what we can reconstruct in terms of sediment budgets, but radiocarbon dating of multiple palaeochannels offers considerable potential for landform-based research to uncover rates of change within individual depocenters.