



## **PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> chemical characterization in Tula.**

**E. Vega**, H. Ruiz, E. Castillo, S. Escalona, G. Tapia, A. Cervantes, G. Sosa and M. Peña.

Instituto Mexicano del Petróleo, México, D. F.

evega@imp.mx, Fax: (5255) 9175-8272, Tel: (5255) 9175-7057.

The results of the study on PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> chemical composition at Tula are presented. Samples were collected at two sites during March - April, 2006. Several trace elements, organic carbon (OC) and elemental (EC), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and six ions were analyzed to characterize aerosols. Results showed that there were no exceedences to the PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard (65  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  24-h average) and concentrations were between 16.3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (Tepeji) and 23.8  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (Subestacion). PM<sub>10</sub> average concentrations were 59.7 (Subestacion) and 33.7  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (Tepeji), therefore the standard (120  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , 24-h average) was not exceeded. PM<sub>2.5</sub>/PM<sub>10</sub> ratios were 0.42 and 0.68 at Subestacion and Tepeji, respectively, which shows that combustion processes are more important in Tepeji. Chemical analysis of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> samples provided a picture of spatial variation across Tula, showing that fugitive dust was one of the largest PM<sub>10</sub> contributors in Subestacion while PM<sub>2.5</sub> was dominated by secondary particles (sulfate, nitrate and ammonium) carbon and some dust in the case of Subestacion. Data validation showed a good agreement between analytical techniques (K<sup>+</sup> vs. potassium, Ca<sup>2+</sup> vs calcium, Na<sup>+</sup> vs sodium).