



Stratospheric NO₂ Climatology from Odin/OSIRIS Limb Scattering Measurements

Samuel Brohede (1), Chris A. McLinden (2), Donal Murtagh (1), Craig S. Haley (3), Gwenaël Berthet (4)

(1) Department of Radio and Space Science, Chalmers Univ. of Techn., (2) Environment Canada, (3) Centre for Research in Earth and Space Science, York Univ., (4) Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie de l'Environnement, CNRS. (samuel.brohede@chalmers.se)

An NO₂ climatology, in terms of mean and standard deviation, as a function of latitude (5 deg bins), altitude (10-46 km in 2 km bins) local solar time (24 hours) and month is constructed based on the Odin/OSIRIS limb scattering data from 2002-2005. The measured profiles, given at specific local solar times, are scaled to all 24 hours using a 1-D photochemical boxmodel (PRATMO). Near global coverage is achieved with full latitude coverage around the equinoxes and limited coverage in the winter hemisphere.

The mean NO₂ fields at a specific local solar time involves high concentrations in the polar summer, peaking at around 25km, with a negative equator-ward gradient. Distinct high levels between 40-50 degrees at 30 km in the winter/spring hemisphere are also found, associated with the so called *Noxon-cliff*. The diurnal cycle reveals lowest NO₂ concentrations at noon and steep gradients around sunrise and sunset. The 1- σ standard deviation is generally quite low, around 20%, except for winter and spring high latitudes conditions where values are well above 50% and stretch through the entire stratosphere, a phenomenon probably related to the polar vortex. It was also found that NO₂ concentrations are log-normally distributed rather than gaussian.

Comparisons to a climatology based on CTM (REPROBUS) data for the same time period reveal relative differences below 20% in general which is comparable to the estimated OSIRIS systematic uncertainty. Clear exceptions are the polar regions in winter/spring throughout the atmosphere and equatorial regions below 25 km, where OSIRIS is relatively higher by 40% and more. These discrepancies are most likely attributable to limitations of the CTM, but is has to be further investigated.