



A method for seismogenic fault detection from hypocenter trends in critical network conditions

D. Presti (1), **B. Orecchio** (1), G. Falcone (1, 2), G. Neri (1)

(1) Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università di Messina, Italy, (2) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione Roma 1, Roma, Italy

We compared the performances of linear and non-linear hypocenter location methods working in 3D velocity structures, a not-fully explored subject of main interest in the regions where the location problem is ill-conditioned. Comparisons are made between the linear location method known as SIMUL (Evans et al., 1994) and the non-linear probabilistic algorithm named BAYLOC (Presti et al., 2004). We found that in nearly critical network conditions the SIMUL and BAYLOC algorithms furnish hypocenter coordinates of comparable accuracy leading to similar hypocenter spatial trends, while the location error estimates from SIMUL are, in general, less accurate than BAYLOC's. These findings are further supported by the results of relocations of synthetic events performed in the same network-model conditions of the real sequences. We conclude that the approximations of the linearization process determine lower accuracy of linear location error estimates but no apparent incremental bias in the hypocentral coordinates (compared to non-linear methods) when location is performed in nearly critical network. Therefore, we extend to location in 3D velocity structures a conclusion drawn by previous investigators for 1D location (Lomax et al., 1998; Lomax et al., 2000; Lippitsch et al., 2005). Because location error estimates may be crucial to establish whether the hypocenter trend of a sequence does really mark the seismogenic structure or simply reflects ill-conditioning of the location process, we based on the BAYLOC location probability concept our approach to hypocenter trend evaluation for seismogenic fault detection. This procedure, named ISO-TEST, works through isotropic generation of synthetic hypocenters inside the sequence volume (simulations) and comparison by misfit variables of the location probability function of the sequence with the probability functions from simulations.

References

Evans, J. R., Eberhart-Phillips, D. & Thurber, C. H., 1994. User's manual for simulps12 for imaging Vp and Vp/Vs: a derivative of the "Thurber" tomographic inversion simul3 for local earthquakes and explosions, U.S. Geol. Surv. Open-file Rept., 94-431.

Lippitsch, R., White, R. & Soosalu, H. 2005. Precise hypocenter relocation of microearthquakes in a high- temperature geothermal field: the Torfajökull central volcano, Iceland, *Geophysical Journal International* 160 - 371-388.

Lomax, A., Cattaneo, M., Bethoux, N., Deschamps, A., Courboux, F., Deverchère, J., & Virieux, J., 1998. Comparison of linear and non-linear earthquake locations for the 1995 Ventimiglia sequence, Poster presentation at: European Geophysical Society, XXII General Assembly, <http://alomax.free.fr/posters/vintimiglia>.

Lomax A., Virieux, J., Volant, P., & Berge-Thierry C., 2000. Probabilistic earthquake location in 3D and layered model, in *Advances in Seismic Event Location*, 101-134, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands.

Presti, D., Troise, C. & De Natale G., 2004. Probabilistic location of seismic sequences in heterogeneous media, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 94, 6, 2239-2253.