



## **Isotopomer signatures of N<sub>2</sub>O emitted from an arable loess soil under different process conditions - a soil microcosm study.**

**R. Well** (1), I. Kurganova (2), V. Lopes (2), H. Flessa (1)

(1) Institute of Soil Science and Forest Nutrition, University of Göttingen, Germany,

(2) Institute of Physicochemical and Biological Problems in Soil Science, Russian Academy of Sciences, Pushchino, Russia (rwell@gwdg.de / Phone +49-551-395507)

Soils represent the major source of the atmospheric greenhouse gas nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) and there is a need to better constrain the total global flux and the relative contribution of the microbial source processes. The aim of our study was to evaluate isotopomer analysis of N<sub>2</sub>O (intramolecular distribution of <sup>15</sup>N) as well as conventional nitrogen and oxygen isotope ratios (i) as a tool to identify N<sub>2</sub>O production processes in soils and (ii) to constrain the isotopic fingerprint of soil-derived N<sub>2</sub>O.

We conducted three microcosm experiments with arable loess soil fertilized with <sup>15</sup>NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-labeled or non-labeled ammonium nitrate. In experiment 1, soils were incubated at varying moisture (55, 75 and 85% water-filled pores space) in order to establish different levels of nitrification and denitrification. Isotopomeric characterization of emitted N<sub>2</sub>O was conducted by mass spectrometric analysis of  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ , average  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  ( $\delta^{15}\text{N}^{bulk}$ ) and <sup>15</sup>N site preference (SP = difference in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  between the central and peripheral N positions of the asymmetric N<sub>2</sub>O molecule). Total rates and N<sub>2</sub>O emission of denitrification and nitrification were determined by <sup>15</sup>N-analysis of headspace gases and soil extracts of the <sup>15</sup>NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> treatment. N<sub>2</sub>O emission and denitrification increased with moisture whereas gross nitrification was almost constant. In the dry treatment, more than half of the N<sub>2</sub>O flux was derived from nitrification, whereas denitrification was the dominant N<sub>2</sub>O source in the intermediate and wet treatments. Moisture conditions were clearly reflected by the isotopic signatures since highly significant differences were observed for average  $\delta^{15}\text{N}^{bulk}$ , SP and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ . Experiment means of the intermediate and wet treatments gave negative  $\delta^{15}\text{N}^{bulk}$  (-18.0 and -34.8 permil,

respectively) and positive SP (8.6 and 15.3 permil, respectively), which we explained by the fractionation during  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production and partial reduction to  $\text{N}_2$ . In the dry treatment, mean SP was relatively low (1.9 permil) which suggests that nitrification produced  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  with low or negative SP. The clear influence of process condition on isotopomer signatures suggests that the isotopomer approach might be suitable for identifying  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  source processes. However, more research is needed to determine the impact from process intensity and microbial community structure. Isotopomer signatures were within the range reported from previous soil studies which supports the assumption that SP of soil derived  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is lower than SP of tropospheric  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ . Experiments 2 and 3 were conducted to study the  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -to- $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  step and the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ -to- $\text{N}_2$  step of denitrification separately. SP of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  produced during anaerobic incubation of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  amended loess soil in the presence of 10 kPa acetylene (experiment 2) ranged between 20 and 35 permil. Anaerobic incubation of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  depleted loess soil supplemented with  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  (experiment 3) resulted in increasing SP in the residual  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  while  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  was reduced to  $\text{N}_2$ . From experiments 2 and 3 we conclude that positive SP of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emitted in experiment 1 is the result of both partial processes, i.e. production and reduction of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ .