



Plant responses double the impact of CO₂-induced climate change on simulated future runoff

Richard A. Betts(1), Mat Collins(1), Peter M. Cox(2), Peter Falloon(1), Nicola Gedney(3), Deborah L. Hemming(1), Chris Huntingford(2), Chris D. Jones(1) and Cameron Rye(4)

(1)Met Office, Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research, Fitzroy Road, Exeter, EX1 3PB, UK, (2)Centre for Ecology and Hydrology Dorset, Winfrith Technology Centre, Winfrith Newburgh, Dorchester, Dorset, DT2 8ZD, UK, (3)Met Office, Joint Centre for Hydro-Meteorological Research, Maclean Building, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, OX10 8BB, U.K., (4)Department of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, richard.betts@metoffice.gov.uk,

In addition to radiative forcing, increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentrations also force the climate system through direct effects on plant physiology. Plant stomata generally open less under higher CO₂ concentrations, directly reducing the flux of moisture from the surface to the atmosphere through transpiration. Reduced transpiration leaves more water at the land surface, causing increased runoff due to the physiological impact of rising CO₂ on vegetation. Here we show that in a large ensemble of doubled-CO₂ climate model simulations, the response of runoff to a given increase in precipitation is approximately twice as large when this physiological forcing is included. This reveals a difficulty in the use of radiative forcing as a basis for metrics of climate change. While Global Warming Potentials may allow comparison of effects on global mean temperature, they do not provide an accurate comparison of impacts on the water cycle. We introduce the concepts of Hydrological Efficacy and the Global Wetting Potential as metrics through which to compare the relative effects of different forcing agents on hydrology.