



On the use of various k-U relationships and satellite wind speeds for studying air-sea CO₂ gas exchange coefficients

J. Boutin (1), J.F. Piolle (2), L. Merlivat (1), Y. Quilfen (2)

(1) Laboratoire d'Océanographie et du Climat – Experimentation et Approches Numeriques, Institut Pierre Simon Laplace, Paris, France

(2) CERSAT French ERS Processing and Archiving Facility, Ifremer, Plouzane, France

(jlb@lodyc.jussieu.fr / Fax : +33 1 44 27 38 05 / Phone: +33 1 44 27 47 65)

Several attempts have been made to relate air-sea gas transfer velocities, k , to wind speed, U . Relating k to U is not completely satisfactory because k is known to be driven by sea surface roughness which is not only dependent on wind speed and alternative approaches attempt to relate k to sea surface slopes (Frew et al., 2004) that is accessible using dual-frequency altimeters. However, scatterometer instruments provide a much better spatial coverage than altimeters.

At present, three k-U relationships are commonly used to derive CO₂ air-sea fluxes from wind speed and air-sea CO₂ partial pressure gradient:

-The Liss and Merlivat (1986) relationship deduced from process studies in wind tunnel and calibrated with lake measurements.

-The Wanninkhof (1992) relationship deduced by assuming (1) k is proportional to U^2 , (2) the global distribution of U is a Rayleigh distribution and (3) the global k average is constrained by the Broecker et al. (1985) ocean ¹⁴C inventory ($K_{broecker}$).

-The Nightingale et al. (2000) relationship deduced from in situ tracer measurements (SF₆, ³He) performed at sea and assuming a second order polynomial k-U relationship.

Three sets of CO₂ exchange coefficients corresponding to the above k-U relationships have been computed since 1991 using ERS and QSCAT wind speeds. In order to correctly take into account the non-linearity of the k-U relationships in the K averages,

K has been computed for each 25km scatterometer wind speeds and these values have been interpolated using a kriging method to produce weekly and monthly K maps at global scale.

As expected from older studies, global mean K values deduced from these 3 k-U relationships are quite stable temporally (no seasonal cycle) but the K deduced from various relationships disagree by up to a factor 1.8 and this factor varies regionally (Boutin et al., 2002).

The mean global K value derived from QSCAT wind speeds and the Wanninkhof (1992) relationship, K_w , is 20% higher than the one derived with the Nightingale relationship, K_n ; K_w is 4% higher than $K_{broecker}$ as QSCAT winds do not exactly follow a Rayleigh distribution and are on average higher than the mean value assumed by Wanninkhof (1992) to calibrate his relationship. K_n are 15% lower than $K_{broecker}$, in better agreement with Peacock (2004) and Naegler et al. (2005) new revisited inventory. The consequences of these results for the choice of a k-U relationship will be analysed relatively to the absolute accuracy and the variability of the satellite wind speeds and to the shape of k-U relationship (polynomial versus quadratic).