



## On the use of various k-U relationships and satellite wind speeds for studying air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> gas exchange coefficients

**J. Boutin** (1), J.F. Piolle (2), L. Merlivat (1), Y. Quilfen (2)

(1) Laboratoire d'Océanographie et du Climat – Experimentation et Approches Numeriques, Institut Pierre Simon Laplace, Paris, France

(2) CERSAT French ERS Processing and Archiving Facility, Ifremer, Plouzane, France

(jlb@lodyc.jussieu.fr / Fax : +33 1 44 27 38 05 / Phone: +33 1 44 27 47 65)

Several attempts have been made to relate air-sea gas transfer velocities,  $k$ , to wind speed,  $U$ . Relating  $k$  to  $U$  is not completely satisfactory because  $k$  is known to be driven by sea surface roughness which is not only dependent on wind speed and alternative approaches attempt to relate  $k$  to sea surface slopes (Frew et al., 2004) that is accessible using dual-frequency altimeters. However, scatterometer instruments provide a much better spatial coverage than altimeters.

At present, three k-U relationships are commonly used to derive CO<sub>2</sub> air-sea fluxes from wind speed and air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure gradient:

-The Liss and Merlivat (1986) relationship deduced from process studies in wind tunnel and calibrated with lake measurements.

-The Wanninkhof (1992) relationship deduced by assuming (1)  $k$  is proportional to  $U^2$ , (2) the global distribution of  $U$  is a Rayleigh distribution and (3) the global  $k$  average is constrained by the Broecker et al. (1985) ocean <sup>14</sup>C inventory ( $K_{broecker}$ ).

-The Nightingale et al. (2000) relationship deduced from in situ tracer measurements (SF<sub>6</sub>, <sup>3</sup>He) performed at sea and assuming a second order polynomial k-U relationship.

Three sets of CO<sub>2</sub> exchange coefficients corresponding to the above k-U relationships have been computed since 1991 using ERS and QSCAT wind speeds. In order to correctly take into account the non-linearity of the k-U relationships in the  $K$  averages,

K has been computed for each 25km scatterometer wind speeds and these values have been interpolated using a kriging method to produce weekly and monthly K maps at global scale.

As expected from older studies, global mean K values deduced from these 3 k-U relationships are quite stable temporally (no seasonal cycle) but the K deduced from various relationships disagree by up to a factor 1.8 and this factor varies regionally (Boutin et al., 2002).

The mean global K value derived from QSCAT wind speeds and the Wanninkhof (1992) relationship,  $K_w$ , is 20% higher than the one derived with the Nightingale relationship,  $K_n$ ;  $K_w$  is 4% higher than  $K_{broecker}$  as QSCAT winds do not exactly follow a Rayleigh distribution and are on average higher than the mean value assumed by Wanninkhof (1992) to calibrate his relationship.  $K_n$  are 15% lower than  $K_{broecker}$ , in better agreement with Peacock (2004) and Naegler et al. (2005) new revisited inventory. The consequences of these results for the choice of a k-U relationship will be analysed relatively to the absolute accuracy and the variability of the satellite wind speeds and to the shape of k-U relationship (polynomial versus quadratic).