Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol. 10, EGU2008-A-02745, 2008 SRef-ID: 1607-7962/gra/EGU2008-A-02745 EGU General Assembly 2008 © Author(s) 2008



Thermo-mechanical modelling for velocity prediction in catastrophic landslides

F. Cecinato, A. Zervos

School of Civil Engineering & Environment, University of Southampton, United Kingdom (f.cecinato@soton.ac.uk / Phone: +44 2380 59 3827)

Thermal pressurisation has been proposed in literature as the key phenomenon to interpret the mechanics of the final collapse of large slope failures. A new thermomechanical model is proposed by improving on an existing one, applicable to large landslides and rockslides consisting of a coherent mass sliding on a thin clayey layer. The considered time window is that of catastrophic acceleration, which starts at incipient failure and ends a few seconds later, when the acquired displacement and velocity are such that the landslide is broken up into pieces. The model takes into account frictional heating, pore pressure build-up and thermoplastic collapse of the soil skeleton, leading to the vanishing of shear resistance and unconstrained acceleration. First, an existing thermo-elasto-plastic constitutive model for clays is discussed, and modified by re-formulating it in a general stress space and taking into account thermal softening. The soil constitutive model is then employed into an existing 1-D landslide model (Vardoulakis 2002), resulting in a set of three equations describing the time evolution of temperature, excess pore pressures within the shearband and slide velocity. The resulting model equations are shown to be well-posed, and then are discretised and integrated numerically to back-analyse the final stage of the case history of Vajont that occurred in Italy in 1963. Finally, a generalisation of this model and its potential applicability to the velocity back-prediction of other well-documented case histories are discussed.

Reference:

Vardoulakis, I., 2002. Dynamic thermo-poro-mechanical analysis of catastrophic land-

slides. Geotechnique, 52(3): 157-171.