Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol. 8, 01403, 2006 SRef-ID: 1607-7962/gra/EGU06-A-01403 © European Geosciences Union 2006



## Projections of near-surface winds under climate change scenarios for use in the wind energy industry

S.C. Pryor (1), R.J. Barthelmie (2), J.T. Schoof (3) and A.M. Sempreviva (4)

(1)Atmospheric Science Program, Department of Geography, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA (Tel:1-812-855-5155 / Email: spryor@indiana.edu / Fax: 1-812-855-1661)

(2) Department of Wind Energy and Atmospheric Physics, Risoe National Laboratory, DK 4000 Roskilde, DK (Tel: 45-46-77-5020 / email: <u>r.barthelmie@risoe.dk</u> / Fax: 45-46-77-5970)

(3) Department of Geography, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL 62901, USA (Tel: 1-618-453-6022 / Email: jschoof@siu.edu / Fax: 1-618-536-3375)

(4) Istituto di Scienze dell'Atmosfera e del Clima , Area di ricerca C.N.R. di Roma Tor Vergata, Via Fosso del Cavaliere, 100, 00133 Rome, Italy. Phone: +39 6 49934279, Fax: +39 0620660291. e-mail: am.sempreviva@isac.cnr.it

Changes in near–surface winds due to global climate change may have profound geophysical and societal impacts. However, as we demonstrate coupled Atmosphere-Ocean Global Climate Models (AOGCM) are unable to replicate historically observed magnitude and spatial variability of wind speeds. For example, the observed mean wind speed at Copenhagen, Denmark in 1982-2000 is 5.9 m s<sup>-1</sup>, but grid-cell average mean wind speeds for this location from 10 state-of-the-art AOGCM range from 2.3 to 6.3 m s<sup>-1</sup>. Hence we have developed a downscaling technique capable of generating probability distributions of wind speeds, and apply it here to sites in northern Europe for historical periods (1961-1990 and 1982-2000) and two future periods (2046-2065, 2081-2100). This downscaling approach can reproduce the historical observations and is robust to stochastic effects in the AOGCM derived predictors. The climate change signal in mean and 90<sup>th</sup> percentile wind speeds is of the order of +/-15% and is currently comparable to the variability due to differences in AOGCM simulations of the downscaling predictors.