Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol. 7, 05533, 2005 SRef-ID: 1607-7962/gra/EGU05-A-05533 © European Geosciences Union 2005



## Post-seismic Deformation following the June 2000 Earthquake Sequence in the south Iceland Seismic Zone

T. Árnadóttir (1), F. F. Pollitz (2), S. Jónsson (3), W. Jiang (1,4) and K. L. Feigl (5)

(1) Nordic Volcanological Center, University of Iceland, Iceland (thora1@hi.is), (2) USGS, Menlo Park, USA, (3) Institute of Geophysics, ETH, Zurich, Switzerland, (4) Now at the GPS Research Center, Wuhan University, China, (5) CNRS, Toulouse, France

We observe post-seismic deformation on two spatio-temporal scales after two Mw=6.5 earthquakes that occurred in the south Iceland seismic zone on June 17 and 21, 2000. At the first scale we see a rapidly decaying deformation transient lasting no more than 2 months and extending about 5 km away from the two mainshock ruptures. This local, month-scale transient is captured by several radar interferograms (InSAR) and is also observed at a few campaign GPS sites located near the two faults. The second scale takes place over a characteristic time of about a year and is only detected by campaign and continuous GPS measurements. Different mechanisms are needed to explain the observed post-seismic deformation. The month-scale deformation pattern has been explained by poro-elastic rebound due to pore-fluid flow in response to the main shock induced pore-pressure changes [Jónsson et al., 2003]. In contrast, the yearscale deformation can be explained by either afterslip at 8-14 km depth or viscoelastic relaxation of the lower crust and upper mantle in response to the coseismic stress changes. Models with lower crustal viscosities of  $\sim 10^{19}$  Pa s and upper mantle viscosity less than  $\sim 3 \times 10^{18}$  Pa s yield the best fit to the combined horizontal and vertical post-seismic velocity field. Afterslip models provide a better fit to the postseismic signal during the first year (2000-2001) than visco-elastic models, whereas visco-elastic relaxation is the more plausible mechanism to explain the deformation observed during 2001-2004.